

1
2
3 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
4 EASTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON

5 DAVID TROUPE,

6 Plaintiff,

7 v.

8 STEVEN HAMMOND, MICHAEL FURST,
9 STEVEN JEWITT, JO PHILLIPS, JANE
10 DOE, JOHN DOE, and WCC JOHN DOE,

11 Defendants.

No. 4:17-CV-05020-EFS

**ORDER DISMISSING CASE WITHOUT
PREJUDICE**

12 On February 23, 2017, Plaintiff David Troupe, a prisoner at the
13 Washington Corrections Center, filed a pro se civil rights complaint
14 pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. ECF No. 1. However, the accompanying
15 application to proceed in forma pauperis (IFP) was deficient. See ECF
16 Nos. 5 & 6. Then, on May 23, 2017, Plaintiff filed another IFP
17 application. ECF No. 8. On September 11, 2017, the Court found
18 Plaintiff's application to proceed IFP should be denied and warned
19 Plaintiff that if he did not pay the filing fee or show cause why he
20 should be granted IFP status by no later than Friday, October 13, 2017,
21 the Court would dismiss this action. See ECF No. 14. Plaintiff failed
22 to do either.¹

23
24
25 ¹ On October 16, 2017, Plaintiff filed a "Motion to Withdraw Suit Due to
26 Conflict with Edward F. Shea, and Request to Scan All Records Re this Case

1 For the reasons stated in the Court's prior order, ECF No. 14, and
2 because Plaintiff has failed to either pay the filing fee or show he
3 should be granted IFP status by the October 13, 2017 deadline, the Court
4 dismisses this case without prejudice.

5 Accordingly, **IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:**

- 6 1. All claims are **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE.**
7 2. All pending motions are **DENIED AS MOOT.**
8 3. All hearings and other deadlines are **STRICKEN.**
9 4. The Clerk's Office is directed to **CLOSE** this file.

10 **IT IS SO ORDERED.** The Clerk of Court is directed to enter this
11 Order and forward a copy to Plaintiff.

12 **DATED** this 17th day of October 2017.

13
14 s/Edward F. Shea
EDWARD F. SHEA
15 Senior United States District Judge
16
17
18
19
20
21
22

23
24 to Plaintiff." ECF No. 16. However, even if the Court were to consider
25 this late filing, it did not address whether Plaintiff should receive IFP
26 status, nor did it indicate that Plaintiff intended to file the requisite
fee.